PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: September 28, 2015 **Received:** September 24, 2015

Status: Pending_Post

Tracking No. 1jz-8lb4-u725

Comments Due: September 24, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-7721

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I think the proposed rule limiting use of options in retirement accounts is a bad idea. Options used properly allow the

individual to buy and sell puts in a fashion that actually reduces risk. If I sell a put it allows me to buy a stock at a cheaper

price than if I bought it outright or it allows me to bank the premium if the stock stays above the strike price. If I own a stock I

can sell a covered call on it to generate increased income from that stock and at the same time risk of loss is reduced. If I

believe the stock market will fall I can buy inexpensive puts that provide me with insurance for my stocks against that fall.

These are just a couple of examples of how options used properly can reduce risk in the stock market - there are more. It

would be a strike against the holder of retirement accounts to implement this ill advised rule.